



MULTI-GNSS IONOSPHERE MODELING WITH THIN PLATE SPLINES INTERPOLATION







Anna Krypiak-Gregorczyk¹, Paweł Wielgosz¹ Andrzej Borkowski² Angela Aragon-Angel³ Aleksander Nowak⁴

¹University of Warmia and Mazury in Olsztyn, Poland ²Wroclaw University of Environmental and Life Sciences, Poland ³European Commission, Joint Research Centre (JRC), Institute for the Protection and Security of the Citizen, Italy ⁴Gdansk University of Technology, Poland

IAG Commission 4 Positioning and Applications Symposium Wroclaw, Poland

OUTLINE

- **1.** Basics in GNSS-TEC estimation
- 2. Short review of exiting global models
- 3. Regional ionosphere modeling at UWM in Olsztyn, Poland
- 4. Comparison to well established models

FUNDAMENTAL OBSERVATION EQUATIONS

$$L1_{i}^{k} = q_{i}^{k} + c\left(\Delta t_{i} - \Delta t^{k}\right) + \Delta T_{i}^{k} - \Delta I_{i}^{k} - \lambda_{1}N1_{i}^{k} + c\left(b_{L1}^{k} + b_{L1.i}\right) + \varepsilon$$
$$P1_{i}^{k} = q_{i}^{k} + c\left(\Delta t_{i} - \Delta t^{k}\right) + \Delta T_{i}^{k} + \Delta I_{i}^{k} + c\left(b_{1}^{k} + b_{1.i}\right) + \varepsilon$$

where:

| $L1_i^k$ | – the carrier phase observations on L1 frequency. |
|-----------------------------|---|
| $P1_i^k$ | – the P-code observations on L1 frequency. |
| q_i^k | – the geometric distance between receiver <i>i</i> and satellite <i>k</i> . |
| С | – the speed of light. |
| Δt_i . Δt^k | - offsets of the receiver (<i>i</i>) and satellite (<i>k</i>) clocks. |
| ΔT_i^k | – delay of the signal due to the troposphere. |
| ΔI_i^k | delay of the signal due to the ionosphere. |
| $b_{1}^{k} . b_{L1}^{k}$ | – the satellite hardware delay. |
| $b_{1.i}. b_{L1.i}$ | – the receiver hardware delay. |
| $N1_i^k$ | – the initial carrier phase ambiguity. |
| λ_1 | – the wavelength. |
| ε | – indicates a random error. |

GEOMETRY-FREE LINEAR COMBINATION (P4)

$$P1_{i}^{k} = q_{i}^{k} + c(\Delta t_{i} - \Delta t^{k}) + \Delta T_{i}^{k} + \Delta I_{i}^{k} + c(b_{1}^{k} + b_{1.i}) + \varepsilon$$

$$P2_{i}^{k} = q_{i}^{k} + c(\Delta t_{i} - \Delta t^{k}) + \Delta T_{i}^{k} + \xi \Delta I_{i}^{k} + c(b_{2}^{k} + b_{2.i}) + \varepsilon$$

$$\xi = \frac{f_{1}^{2}}{f_{2}^{2}} \approx 1.647; \qquad \xi_{4} = 1 - \xi = \approx -0.647;$$

 $P4_{i}^{k} = P1_{i}^{k} - P2_{i}^{k} = +\xi_{4}\Delta I_{i}^{k} + c(DCB_{P1P2}^{k} + DCB_{P1P2i}).$

where: $DCB_{P1P2}^{k} = b_{1}^{k} - b_{2}^{k}$. $DCB_{P1P2i} = b_{1.i} - b_{2.i}$.

$$\Delta I_{i}^{k} = \frac{P4_{i}^{k} - c(DCB_{P1P2}^{k} + DCB_{P1P2i})}{\xi_{4}} \qquad \Delta I_{i}^{k} = -\frac{40.3}{f^{2}} \text{TEC}$$

DISPERSION OF TEC CALCULATED FROM SMOOTHED PSEUDORANGE DATA

✓ Clear **vTEC** dependence on the smoothed arc length



20 MARCH 2012

GEOMETRY-FREE LINEAR COMBINATION (L4) OF CARRIER PHASE DATA

$$L1_{i}^{k} = q_{i}^{k} + c(\Delta t_{i} - \Delta t^{k}) + \Delta T_{i}^{k} - \Delta I_{i}^{k} - \lambda_{1}N1_{i}^{k} + c(b_{L1}^{k} + b_{L1.i}) + \varepsilon$$

$$L2_{i}^{k} = q_{i}^{k} + c(\Delta t_{i} - \Delta t^{k}) + \Delta T_{i}^{k} - \xi \Delta I_{i}^{k} - \lambda_{2}N2_{i}^{k} + c(b_{L2}^{k} + b_{L2.i}) + \varepsilon$$

$$\xi = \frac{f_{1}^{2}}{f_{2}^{2}} \approx 1.647; \qquad \xi_{4} = 1 - \xi.$$

$$\boldsymbol{L4_i^k} = L1_i^k - L2_i^k = -\xi_4 \Delta I_i^k + B_{i,4}^k$$

where:
$$B_{i,4}^{k} = \lambda_1 N_{i,1}^{k} - \lambda_2 N_{i,2}^{k} - (b_{L1}^{k} - b_{L2}^{k}) - (b_{L1,i} - b_{L2,i})$$

 $\Delta I_i^k = \frac{L4_i^k - B_{i.4}^k}{\varepsilon}$

Carrier phase bias: constant for continuous data arc

International GNSS Service (IGS) Global Ionosphere Maps (GIMs),
 2.5x5.0 deg @ 2 hours, combinations of GIMs provided by ACs,
 carried out at UWM in Olsztyn:

- CODE (SH TEC modeling, DD_L4, also available @1 hour)
- ESA (SH TEC modeling, P4, also available @1 hour)
- JPL (three shell model, GAIM, also available @15-minutes)
- UPC (two layer tomography, splines TEC modeling)
- NRCan (SH TEC modeling)
- **WHU (SH TEC modeling)**
- UPCs UQRG (tomography, kriging, @15 minutes)

- Prof. M. Hernandez-Pajares et al. validated these products against TEC derived from altimeter data (2002-2015) in his presentation at recent IGS Workshop in Sydney (see: Hernandez-Pajares et al. 2016 "Comparing performances of seven different global VTEC ionospheric models in the IGS context")
- MHP also compared reference sTEC variation during four selected days of 2015 at ~50 globally distributed stations (not used in models' production)

UPC Ion-SAT validation results

| AC/GIM | Altim-GIM std in TECU | Altim-GIM rel. err. % | dSTEC rel. err. % |
|--------|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|
| IGSG | 3.9 | 19.9 | 28.9 |
| CODG | 4.3 | 22.0 | 27.8 |
| ESAG | 5.3 | 26.6 | 33.0 |
| JPLG | 4.1 | 21.2 | 31.0 |
| UPCG | 3.9 | 19.7 | 26.9 |
| EMRG* | 4.8 | 26.2 | 33.6 |
| WHUG* | 4.6 | 24.8 | 30.7 |
| UQRG | 3.6 | 17.8 | 20.5 |

Hernandez-Pajares et al. 2016

Global GIMs – summary:

□ low temporal and spatial resolutions

□ relatively low accuracy, mostly due to:

- smoothing effect of SH
- often usage of carrier phase-smoothed pseudoranges
- simple SLM mapping function

UWM-RT1 IONOSPHERE MODEL



UWM-RT1 IONOSPHERE MODEL

OBSERVATIONAL DATA:

- □ L1&L2 carrier phase data from:
 - ✓ **50** GNSS stations of Polish **ASG-EUPOS** network.
 - ✓ >200 GNSS stations of *EPN* (EUREF Permanent Network).
- dual-frequency carrier phase and pseudorange <u>GPS + GLONASS data</u>.
- sampling interval: 60/120 seconds.
- elevation cut-off: 30°.

UWM-RT1 IONOSPHERE MODEL



Example IPP locations (GPS+GLONASS)

Test period: 14-20.03.2015 (DoY 73-79, 2015)



TEC maps during quiet day (75/2015) 75/2015 10:00:00







TEC maps during stormy day (76/2015) 76/2015 10:00:00







VTEC Validation: - post-fit residual analysis



QUIET DAY

WROC 75/2015





QUIET DAY

WTZR 75/2015





STORMY DAY

WROC 76/2015



STORMY DAY

WTZR 76/2015





RMS of post fit residuals for the analyzed TEC maps (vTEC) [TECU]

| DOY | UWM – rt1 | UQRG | IGS | CODE | NeQuick |
|-----|-----------|------|------|------|---------|
| 73 | 0,48 | 0,86 | 0,77 | 1,66 | 1,89 |
| 74 | 0,49 | 0,85 | 0,76 | 1,87 | 1,57 |
| 75 | 0,53 | 0,92 | 0,82 | 1,41 | 1,32 |
| 76 | 0,65 | 1,28 | 1,63 | 2,47 | 4,48 |
| 77 | 0,24 | 0,55 | 0,75 | 1,33 | 2,07 |
| 78 | 0,23 | 0,61 | 0,77 | 1,80 | 2,17 |
| 79 | 0,19 | 0,56 | 0,81 | 1,18 | 1,47 |

RMS of post fit residuals for the analyzed TEC maps (sTEC) [TECU]

| DOY | UWM — rt1 | UQRG | IGS | CODE | NeQuick |
|-----|-----------|------|------|------|---------|
| 73 | 0,84 | 1,28 | 1,15 | 2,65 | 2,92 |
| 74 | 0,87 | 1,27 | 1,14 | 2,98 | 2,49 |
| 75 | 0,97 | 1,38 | 1,22 | 2,23 | 2,04 |
| 76 | 1,09 | 1,93 | 2,37 | 3,88 | 7,18 |
| 77 | 0,39 | 0,84 | 1,11 | 2,12 | 3,23 |
| 78 | 0,38 | 0,92 | 1,18 | 2,91 | 3,42 |
| 79 | 0,33 | 0,84 | 1,16 | 1,88 | 2,27 |

The overall RMS based on all days, stations and satellite arcs (vTEC) [TECU]

| UWM – rt1 | UQRG | IGS | CODE | NeQuick |
|-----------|------|------|------|---------|
| 0,42 | 0,83 | 0,91 | 1,70 | 2,17 |

The overall RMS based on all days, stations and satellite arcs (sTEC) [TECU]

| UWM – rt1 | UQRG | IGS | CODE | NeQuick |
|-----------|------|------|------|---------|
| 0,73 | 1,24 | 1,35 | 2,70 | 3,44 |

NEXT STEPS:

□ APPLICATION OF IONOSPHERE MAPPING FACTORS (GFZ)

- SHOULD ALLOW FOR INCLUDING DATA DOWN TO 10-15 DEGS.
- THIS IN TURN MAY EXTEND THE RANGE OF THE MODEL
- AND ALSO MAY REDUCE THE NUMBER OF STATIONS

□ VALIDATION IN PPP (WUELS AND GFZ)

FUTURE:

- PROVIDING GLOBAL MODEL
- **REAL-TIME APPLICATION**
- **3D** MODELING

Thank you for your attention!

Backup slides

SINGLE LAYER MODEL (SLM)



http://grupposole.astrofili.org/





Ζ

R

- the satellite's zenith distance at the receiver's location.
- z' the satellite's zenith distance at the ionospheric pierce point.

- the mean earth radius.
- H the height of the single layer.