Quasi-diurnal atmospheric and oceanic excitation of nutation

Jan Vondrák & Cyril Ron, Astronomical Institute, Prague

Outline:

Introduction;
Resonance around FCN, geophysical excitations;
Broad-band Liouville equations, numerical integration;
Comparison with VLBI observations.



Introduction:

- There are only weak geophysical excitations in shortperiodic (near diurnal) range in terrestrial frame;
- Due to the existence of a flattened fluid and rigid inner core, there are however strong resonances in this part of the spectrum, leading to
 - ★ a non-negligible influence on the celestial motion of the Earth's spin axis in space nutation.
- The strongest resonance in this region is the Free Core Nutation (FCN) with retrograde period:
 - ★ in terrestrial frame: around 23h 53min mean solar time,
 - ★ in celestial frame: around 430 days.



- The period of retrograde FCN (in space) is inversely proportional to the dynamical ellipticity of the fluid core;
- ♦ If the core were in hydrostatic equilibrium, the period would be ≈460 days - model IAU1980 (Wahr 1980);
- ♦ VLBI observations before 2000 showed that the ellipticity is by about 4% larger ⇒ period ≈430 days model IAU2000 (Mathews et al. 2002);

Our own recent studies yield:

- ★ From the combination of VLBI/GPS observations 1994.7-2004.6 (Vondrák et al. 2005) 430.55±0.11 days,
- ★ From VLBI observations 1982.4-2005.6 (Vondrák & Ron 2006) 430.32±0.07 days.



Resonance (Mathews-Herring-Buffet transfer function):

amplitude ratio of non-rigid/rigid Earth model:

$$T(\sigma) = \frac{e_R - \sigma}{e_R + 1} N_0 \left[1 + (1 + \sigma) \left(Q_0 + \sum_{j=1}^4 \frac{Q_j}{\sigma - s_j} \right) \right]$$

where e_R is dynamical ellipticity of rigid Earth, σ is the frequency of nutation (in ITRF), *N*, *Q* are constants, and s_i are resonance frequencies:

1. Chandler Wobble - CW ($P_{ter.} = 435 \text{ d}$); 2. Retrograde Free Core Nutation - RFCN ($P_{cel.} = 430 \text{ d}$); 3. Prograde Free Core Nutation - PFCN ($P_{cel.} = 1020 \text{ d}$); 4. Inner Core Wobble - ICW ($P_{ter.} = 2400 \text{ d}$).





Data used:

Nutation, irregular distribution 1-7 days:

★ Combined IVS solution ivsM6q4X.eops (1979.6-2007.0) - celestial pole offsets δX , δY (from IAU2000A model);

Geophysical excitations, 6-h intervals:

- ★ Atmospheric Angular Momentum functions
 - ★ NCEP/NCAR re-analysis (1948.0-2006.7);
 - ★ ERA (1979.0-2001.00).
- ★ Oceanic Angular Momentum functions
 - ★ ECCO model (1993.0-2006.2);
 - ★ OMCT model (1979.0-2001.0);
 - ★ Rui Ponte model (1993.0-2000.5).



8th Czech-Polish Workshop on Recent Geodynamics, Boguszyn, March 2007

6

Atmospheric and oceanic excitations:

- AAM, OAM are given in terrestrial frame, they must be transformed into celestial (non-rotating) frame;
- We are interested only in long-periodic motions, therefore we further re-calculated the transformed AAM/OAM:
 - ★ Short-periodic signal (P<60 days) was smoothed out.</p>
- Following combinations of geophysical excitations are used:
 - ★ NCEP AAM with inverted barometer (IB) correction;
 - ★ NCEP AAM + ECCO OAM;
 - ★ ERA40 AAM + OMCT OAM;
 - ★ NCEP AAM + PONTE OAM.



Numerical integration of broad-band Liouville equations: (after Brzezinski, in celestial frame, complex form, only "matter terms" retained)

$$\ddot{P} - i(\sigma'_{C} + \sigma'_{f})\dot{P} - \sigma'_{C}\sigma'_{f}P = \sigma_{C}a_{P}(\sigma'_{C}\chi'_{P} - i\dot{\chi}'_{P})$$

where *P* is the motion in celestial system; σ'_{C}, σ'_{f} are Chandler and FCN frequency in celestial frame; σ_{C} is Chandler frequency in terrestrial frame; χ'_{P} is excitation (matter term) in celestial frame; $a_{n} = 9.2 \times 10^{-2}$ is a numerical constant.



Solution of broad-band Liouville equations generally yields two free motions:

- ★ Prograde Chandler Wobble with frequency in celestial system: $\sigma'_{c} = 6.32000 \text{ rad/day}$,
- ★ Retrograde Free Core Nutation with frequency in celestial system: $\sigma'_f = -0.0146011$ rad/day

Is forced nutations, depending on excitation function.

Numerical integration by Runge-Kutta method of fourth order is used, with 6-hour step.









8th Czech-Polish Workshop on Recent Geodynamics, Boguszyn, March 2007

12





CEDI

14

Geophysical contributions to nutation

	dX					dY			
Excitation	annual		semi-annual		annual		semi-	semi-annual	
AAM+OAM	sin	COS	sin	COS	sin	COS	sin	COS	
NCEP (IB)	- 42.3 ±1.7	-80.1 ±1.7	+8.8 ±1.7	+20.5 ±1.7	- 90.4 ±1.7	-85.5 ±1.7	+21.2 ±1.7	- 5.7 ±1.7	
NCEP+ECCO	- 31.6 ±4.8	+41.3 ±4.9	- 14.5 ±4.9	+38.5 ±4.9	- 199.7 ±4.6	- 43.1 ±4.7	- 8.4 ±4.6	+8.5 ±4.6	
ERA+OMCT	+45.4 ±5.4	- 182.2 ±5.4	-21.4 ±5.3	+45.1 ±5.3	-159.9 ± 5.3	-146.5 ±5.3	+50.2 ±5.3	+29.4 ±5.3	
NCEP+PONTE	+ 14.1 + ±11.0	+ 118.4 ±11.0	-20.2 ±10.9	+32.3 ±10.9	- 255.0 ±11.0	+173.4 ±11.0	+9.5 ±11.0	+46.0 ±11.0	



Conclusions:

- Observed celestial pole offsets around FCN contain not only free, but also forced motions due to excitations by outer parts (atmosphere, oceans) of the Earth; significant are annual and semi-annual terms;
- Atmospheric pressure term with IB correction (simple oceanic model) is sufficiently large to excite the observed motion numerical integration yields correct amplitudes, but the phase starts to be inconsistent with observations after 15 years of integration;
- The best fit to observations yields the combination of NCEP AAM with ECCO OAM, other two oceanic models (OMCT, Ponte) give significantly worse results;
- Geophysical contributions to nutation (annual & semi-annual terms) differ significantly, depending on oceanic model used.

