Abstract

In 2003, the fourth levelling campaign was completed in Poland. This campaign, together with the previous one carried out in 1974-1982, gave a very good opportunity to determine the land uplift in the area of Poland. The paper describes shortly the third and fourth campaigns, the computation of the relative land uplift, computation of land uplift referred to the mean sea level and modelling the land uplift by the least square collocation method. The obtained results were compared with the computation done by the Institute of Geodesy and Cartography in 1986.
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Introduction

Work is in progress at present in Europe on the realization of the second stage of unifying the levelling networks in Europe, i.e. the kinematic adjustment of the UELN-95 network (resolution 5 of the EUREF symposium, Prague 1999). To perform such an adjustment, a model is necessary for vertical crustal movements for the area of Europe.

Such a model for the area of Poland has been created (KOWALCZYK 2006) with the use of levelling data (the precise levelling campaign 1974-1982; 1999-2003) and mareographic data. The collocation method was used to create this model. This method is commonly used for interpolation of the intervals between the geoid and ellipsoid. Markov’s and Hirvonen’s covariance functions were utilized as analytical covariance functions.

During work on determining vertical movements at nodal bench marks, 235 common nodal bench marks were identified in both campaigns and 360 common levelling lines out of the total number of 382. The distribution of the common nodal bench marks in the area of Poland is presented in Fig. 1.

Relative vertical movements at the nodal bench marks were determined in relation to the nodal bench mark in Władysławowo. The “observed” vertical movements at these bench marks were determined in relation to the mareograph in Władysławowo, assuming the mareograph’s vertical movement as the mean vertical movement from four mareographic stations, i.e. Świnoujście, Kołobrzeg, Ustka, Władysławowo. The figure below presents the observed vertical movements in the area of Poland.
Unfortunately, on account of the bench marks’ distribution (they do not cover evenly and densely enough the whole area of the country), the obtained model had to be condensed, using for this purpose interpolation and extrapolation of vertical movements.

There are many methods of interpolation using functions defined by means of a diagram, a table, or an analytical expression (Waliszewski 1990), however, the irregular character of vertical movements makes their determination impossible by means of an exact analytical formula. This is why a mathematical model should be used for the interpolation of vertical movements.

In the case of such type of data (irregular), the stochastic model is the best (Jasecki 1983). From the literature (Moritz 1973; Hardy 1984; Leonhard, Niemeier 1986; Jasecki 1983) it appears that the most proper interpolation method for creating a numerical model of vertical movements is the collocation method.

**Bases of interpolation by the least square collocation method**

The collocation method proposed by Krarup in the 1950s, spread by Moritz, has found broad use primarily in physical geodesy, but it can be successfully used in other fields of geodesy, among others, for the interpolation of vertical crustal movements. The theoretical bases of this method presented in this paper were developed on the basis of information provided in the literature (Heiskanen, Moritz 1967; Moritz 1980). This method can be presented in outline in the following way. The covariance of observations is called the mean value of the product of two observations at points separated by a constant distance $d$.

If we have at our disposal $n$ observations $v_1, v_2, v_3, \ldots, v_n$ of the network bench marks’ vertical movements, then we calculate the interpolated vertical movement in the point $P$ from the formula:

$$v_P = C_p (C)^{-1} \cdot v$$

where:

$v^T = (v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n)$ – vector of the nodal bench marks’ vertical movements,

$C_p^T = (C_{p1}, C_{p2}, \ldots, C_{pn})$ – vector of the covariance between $v_p$ and $v$,

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} C_{11} & \cdots & C_{1v} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ C_{sv} & \cdots & C_{vv} \end{pmatrix}$$

– matrix of the covariance between observations $v$.

The accuracy of interpolation measured by the mean error amounts to:
\[ \sigma = C_0 - \begin{bmatrix} C_{P1}, C_{P2}, \ldots, C_{Pn} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} C_{11} & C_{12} & \cdots & C_{1n} \\ C_{21} & C_{22} & \cdots & C_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ C_{n1} & C_{n2} & \cdots & C_{nn} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} C_{P1} \\ C_{P2} \\ \vdots \\ C_{Pn} \end{bmatrix} \] (2)

The problem of interpolation, mentioned above, can be solved only in the case when we know covariance functions. Then all elements of the matrix \( C \) and the vector \( C_P \) can be calculated. To pass on to the covariance function, one should first define the covariance itself.

Let’s assume that we are dealing with a set of quantities (observations) distributed in a certain area, whose sum equals zero. If these quantities can be considered a function of the position, then the integral over the given area should equal zero (Heiskanen, Moritz 1967). Such a set is the set of values of vertical movements in the area of the whole Earth.

\[ M \{ v \} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int v \, d\sigma = 0 \] (3)

By the symbol \( M \{ \} \), we understand the mean value in the whole area.

The covariance of vertical movements can be defined as the mean value of the product of vertical movements \( v_i, v_j \) in the whole examined area at points \( P_i \) and \( P_j \), separated by the segment \( d \). It is defined as (Heiskanen, Moritz 1967):

\[ \text{cov}_d \{ v \} = M \{ v_i \cdot v_j \} \] (4)

where: \( M \) – the operator of the mean value, \( PP_i = d = \text{const} \).

If \( d \to 0 \), the product \( v_i \cdot v_j \to v_i^2 \), which is tantamount to the covariance’s tendency towards the variance.

\[ \text{var} \{ v \} = M \{ v^2_i \} \] (5)

That is to say that variance is the measure of the mean quantity of observations while covariance characterizes the statistical dependence (correlation) between the observation \( i \) and \( j \). In a given set of observations, the covariance is a function of the distance \( d \):

\[ C(d) = \text{cov}(v_i, v_j) \] (6)

\[ C(0) = \text{var}(v_i^2) \] (7)

The typical covariance function has been given in Fig. 3. In the case of small values of \( d \), e.g. 1 km, \( v_i \) almost equals \( v_2 \), that is to say covariance almost equals variance. In other words, there is a strong correlation between \( v_1 \) and \( v_2 \). The covariance \( C(d) \) decreases together with an increase in \( d \), because vertical movements become more and more independent. In the case of very great distances \( d \), the covariance will be very small, but never equal to zero, for vertical movements are caused not only by local changes in Earth’s crust, but also by regional factors.
Positive covariance means that \( v_1 \) and \( v_2 \) have a tendency toward almost the same value and the same sign. Negative covariance means that \( v_1 \) and \( v_2 \) have a tendency toward almost the same value and opposite signs. The greater this tendency, the greater is the value of \( C(d) \), but the absolute value of \( C(d) \) never exceeds the value of variance \( C(0) \).  

For the needs of interpolation the terrestrial globe can be defined locally as a plane. Let’s assume that \((x, y)\) define coordinates on a plane and that the points \( P(x_p, y_p) \) and \( Q(x_Q, y_Q) \) lie on this plane. Then, homogeneity and isotropy show that the covariance function can depend only on the distance \( d \) between the points \( PQ \):

\[
d = \sqrt{(x_p - x_Q)^2 + (y_p - y_Q)^2}
\]

(8)

It is then possible to express the covariance function by the analytical expression (Heiskanen, Moritz 1967)

\[
C(d) = C_0 / \left(1 + B^2 d^2\right)^m
\]

(9)

where: \( A, B, C_0 \) and \( m \) — coefficients determined by Hirvonen. They amount to: \( C_0 = 337 \text{ mGal}^2 \), \( B^2 = 40 \text{ km} \), \( m = 1 \) (Heiskanen, Moritz 1967).

Other proposals of analytical covariance functions can be found, among others, in Moritz’s paper (1978). In the quoted paper, the author provides the following analytical expressions as:

\[
C(d) = C_0 e^{-A^2 d^2}
\]

(10)

and other possible analytical expressions derived from Markov’s model:

\[
C(d) = C_0 e^{-d/D}
\]

(11)

The following expressions are called Markov’s models of higher orders:

\[
C(d) = C_0 \left[1 + (d/D)\right] e^{-d/D}
\]

(12)

\[
C(d) = C_0 \left[1 + (d/D) + \left(d^2/3D^2\right)\right] e^{-d/D}
\]

(13)

More information on these models can be found in papers by Jordan (1972), Kasper (1971) and Shaw et al. (1969).

The coefficients \( A, B, D \) from the formulas (9 – 13) can be determined from the empirical values of the covariance function. Basing oneself on the formula for calculating the empirical covariance function for mean gravimetric anomalies (Tscherning, Rapp 1974), one can write a practical formula for also calculating the empirical covariance function for vertical movements, i.e.:

\[
C\{v, v, d\} = \sum_{n}^{i,j} v_{i,j} \quad i, j = 1, 2, 3, … n
\]

(14)

where: \( n \) – the number of points at which vertical movements were determined, \( d \) – the distance between these points.

In the present paper, the program empcov from the GRAVSOFT package was used for calculations (Tscherning et al., 1992)

**Determination of the empirical covariance function of the “observed” vertical crustal movements in the area of Poland**

To determine the empirical covariance function on a representative group of points nodal bench marks of a network of vertical movements were assumed. The variance was given in \( \text{mm}^2 \), the correlation distance in degrees of the arc.
The calculations yielded the following values of parameters of the empirical covariance function determined on the basis of the nodal bench marks:

\[
\begin{align*}
C_0 & \quad \text{variance} & 0.2928 \\
1/2 \ C_0 & \quad \text{correlation distance} & 0.1464 \\
& & 0.3652
\end{align*}
\]

The figure below presents the diagram of the empirical covariance function and diagrams of Markov’s and Hirvonen’s analytical function.

![Diagram of the empirical covariance function and analytical functions](image)

**Fig. 4.** Diagram of the empirical covariance function calculated on the basis of nodal bench marks

As shown in Fig. 4, Hirvonen’s analytical function is better matched to the empirical function.

**Model of vertical crustal movements in the area of Poland**

The realization of the interpolation required the preparation of appropriate data, on which the calculations were performed. The intersections of meridians and parallels in the area of Poland were assumed as interpolated points in intervals of 20’x20’ (Fig. 5).

![Grid of meridians and parallels](image)

**Fig. 5.** The grid of meridians and parallels 20’x20’ against the background of Poland’s borders and the double levelling network

To avoid the formation of a singular matrix, using Matlab’s authoring scripts, it was attempted to define the optimum area on whose basis the vertical movement would be determined on such defined grid. On their basis and after several tests the optimum area was defined by a 50 km long radius.

The observed vertical movements at the nodal bench marks were assumed as points of departure for interpolation with values determined in relation to the nodal benchmark in Władysławowo connected with the mareographic station in Władysławowo. The interpolation was performed with the use of own scripts written in the Matlab program. These programs, named “markow” and “hirvonen”, serve for interpolation by the method of collocation with the use of appropriate covariance functions and calculating the interpolation’s mean error.
Before starting calculations, tests of the programs were carried out on about 10% of the nodal benchmark. They consisted in calculating, by means of both models (Markov’s and Hirvonen’s), the relative vertical movements at selected nodal benchmark and comparing them with the relative vertical movements obtained from the adjustment of the network by the parametric method.

The graphical expression of the obtained results is presented in Fig. 6

![Graphical expression of the obtained results](image)

**Fig. 6. Comparison of vertical movements**

### Model of the “observed” vertical crustal movements in the area of Poland

On the basis of the procedure and formulas presented above, the following models of vertical crustal movements in the area of Poland were created.

**Model of vertical movements with the use of Markov’s analytical function. (Fig. 7)**

![Model of the observed vertical movements determined with the use of Markov’s analytical function](image)

**Fig. 7. Model of the observed vertical movements determined with the use of Markov’s analytical function**

The mean error of interpolation of vertical movements by the collocation method was also determined with the use of Markov’s analytical function. It is contained within the range from 0 to 0.30 mm/year (Fig. 8). The distribution of the mean error of interpolation in the area of Poland is presented in Fig. 9.
Fig. 8. The mean error of interpolation by the collocation method with the use of Markov’s analytical function.

Fig. 9. The mean error of interpolation by the collocation method with the use of Markov’s analytical function in the area of Poland.

Model of vertical movements created with the use of Hirvonen’s analytical function (Fig. 10).

Fig. 10 Model of the observed vertical movements determined with the use of Hirvonen’s analytical function.

The mean error of interpolation of vertical movements by the collocation method was determined, similarly as above, with the use of Hirvonen’s analytical function. It is contained in the bounds from 0 to 0.25 mm/year (Fig. 11). The distribution of the mean error of interpolation in the area of Poland is presented in Fig. 12.
In comparing models obtained by means of Markov’s and Hirvonen’s functions, it can be seen that mean vertical movements do not differ greatly. The differentiation is greatest near Inowroclaw and near Gdańsk. In the remaining area of the country, the values of vertical movements are close to one another, and the course of isolines is similar. The mean error of interpolation by the collocation method is, in both cases, within the range from 0 to 0.30 mm/year. A smaller mean error occurs in the greater part of Poland in the case of using Hirvonen’s function – from 0 to 0.15 mm/year. In the model in which Markov’s function was used, a mean error with the value from 0.1 to 0.2 mm/year dominates.

**Summary and conclusions**

The collocation method is suitable for interpolation of vertical movements. Better results were obtained with the use of Hirvonen’s analytical function. A model was created of contemporary vertical crustal movements in the area of Poland with the use of interpolation by the collocation method. The model was created in two variants: as a numerical set of interpolated vertical movements at points of the grid of meridians and parallels and an analogue map of vertical crustal movements in the area of Poland.

On the basis of the numerical model, the possibility exists of interpolating vertical movements anywhere in Poland. The first tests carried out by Prof. Adam Łyszkowicz confirmed the model’s accuracy, which, in consequence, also confirmed the possibility of using collocation for elaborating vertical crustal movements.
Fig. 13. Vertical crustal movements in the area of Poland
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